UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,487	04/12/2004	Peter Oosterhoff	P0011071.01	3020
27581 MEDTRONIC,	7590 07/16/200 INC.		EXAMINER	
710 MEDTRON	NIC PARKWAY NE	HELLER, TAMMIE K		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/822,487	OOSTERHOFF ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	17 (WIIVII E TTEEEETY	0700
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further coi (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant / thoriamont (1 102 021).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 32-59.		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Carl H. Layno/	/Tammie Heller/	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766	Examiner, Art Unit 3766	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claim 60 would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the rejection of the claims as being anticipated by Park, the Applicant argues that Park fails to disclose sensing the intrinsic heart rate. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner believes that the arguments presented in the most recent communication from the Applicant were answered in the Final Action of April 29, 2008. However, further attention is directed to Figure 7 of Park.

Further, regarding the rejection of the claims as being anticipated by Van Dam, the Applicant argues that Van Dam fails to distinguish between an evoked response and an autonomous intrinsic signal component. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As discussed in the previous Office Action, Van Dam discloses at col. 3, ln. 58-60 that intrinsic activity is detected in response to a delivered pacing pulse.